

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY

NE

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

EE/6 LIS

EXTENSION

NO.

EGBW-966

DATE

26 Jan 1955

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

RID/AN

NE

Please classify into

2.

RI/mis

NY

~~32E-126-22/3~~

3.

~~X ref to 32E-124 20/2~~

4.

5.

RID/ARD

8 June 67

Please file 32E-126-2/5

6.

RI/ARD, 1 Aug 57,

Job # 5799

RECALLED BY EE/ARD
8 OCT. 60

7. DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
8. SOURCES METHODSEXEMPTION 3028
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

MICROFILMED

MAY 17 1967

DOC. MICRO. SER.

RECORDS OFFICE STAMP

The following action is
authorized: Declassification

Signature

R.O. #300

EE/6

Note: If destruction, cite
reasons and coordinate
if appropriate.

ABSTRACT
DATE 26 Jan 1955

32E-126-22/5

OFFICIAL DISPATCH **DESENSITIZED**

VIA
(Special Air or Sea Pouch)

DISPATCH NO. REF CSN 43-26
REF - 43-26

SECRET
CLASSIFICATION

DATE JAN 26 1955

TO **Chief of Mission, Frankfurt**
FROM **ATTN: Chief, BERLIN OPERATIONS BASE**
Chief, BE

INFO: COM ☐ ☐

SUBJECT { GENERAL
SPECIFIC **Operational/CADROIT/CALL/CART**
Assistance of CADROIT and DILINEN
to CALL and CART Programs

REF: **REFM 6561, 11 December 1954.**

MICROFILMED
MAY 17 1967
DOC. MICRO. SER.

1. ☐ ☐ , in a recent Headquarters debriefing, stated his belief that CADROIT and DILINEN are directing individuals who are potential SED penetrations of CALL interest to West German agencies rather than to ☐ or other appropriate BOB staffers. ☐ feels that this procedure, contradicting our agreements with these organizations, stems from CADROIT's and DILINEN's desire to "feather their nests" with those West German governmental agencies which may control them at some future date. In addition, ☐ believed that the case officers concerned with these organizations, recognizing their mission was primarily one of cold war political warfare, were understandably not putting sufficient pressure on their principal agents to produce SED leads. Wishing to maintain the best possible relationship with their principal agents, these case officers apparently feel that such pressure would damage this relationship.

2. In view of previous field reports these statements are somewhat surprising since a) the DILINEN monthly reports have indicated that a monthly average of 10 persons have been flushed to the CALGIMO office for the CASTANIA and/or REDCAP programs, and b) according to Reference, CADROIT efforts in the flushing arrangement have increased considerably and approximately 25 persons have been flushed since June 1954. Furthermore, it is our understanding that this flushing program is being coordinated between appropriate BOB sections.

3. Considering these facts, and with the understanding that a clear and agreed-upon operational policy has been reached on this matter, we render

(Releasing officer)

(Coordinating officer)

(Authenticating officer)

DESENSITIZED
CLASSIFICATION

D-32E-124-20/2

FORM NO. 51-29
JUN 1949

NO FIELD DISTRIBUTION

32E-126-22/5

- 2 - KAPOK

EGBW - 966

what could have prompted ☐ ☐ comments. Your clarification will be greatly appreciated.

06 JAN 1955

APPROVED:

☐
Ch/EC, EEW/PP/G

24 January 1955

DISTRIBUTION:

2 - BERLIN (Direct)

3 - COM

X-EE/DCOP

2-EE/PP/G

1-EE/FI/G

NO FIELD DISTRIBUTION

~~KAPOK~~

☐
EE/DCOP

☐

☐

EE/FI/G

☐

EE/PP/G